1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17 18

19

20 21

22

23

24 25

26

27 28 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SACRAMENTO DIVISION

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

CHRISTOPHER KOHLS, ET AL.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

ROBERT A. BONTA, ET AL.,

Defendants.

Case No. 2:24-cv-02527-JAM-CKD

PLAINTIFFS' NOTICE OF SUPPLEMENTAL AUTHORITY

Plaintiffs Rumble Inc., Rumble Canada Inc., and X Corp., submit this notice under Local Rule 230(m) to bring the Court's attention to two cases decided after briefing on the cross motions for summary judgment was completed: Castronuova v. Meta Platforms, Inc., No. 4:24-CV-02523-YGR, 2025 WL 1914860 (N.D. Cal. June 10, 2025), appeal docketed, No. 25-4243 (9th Cir. July 10, 2025), and Geegieh v. Unknown Parties, No. CV-24-02993-PHX-SMB, 2025 WL 1769766 (D. Ariz. June 26, 2025).

In Castronuova, the court barred claims against X Corp. and Meta Platforms, Inc. under both 47 U.S.C. § 230(c)(1) and the First Amendment of the U.S. Constitution that alleged a "conspiracy between the social media company defendants and the federal government to censor conservative voices during the COVID-19 pandemic." 2025 WL 1914860, at *1, *3–5. The court held that "[a]n editorial decision can be 'both an expression of a publisher's point of view (protected

Case 2:24-cv-02527-JAM-CKD Document 92 Filed 07/24/25 Page 2 of 4

under the First Amendment) and a publication of a third-party's content (protected under Section
230)" and noted that the fact that social media platforms' "moderation decisions are also protected
by the First Amendment does not strip them of their Section 230 immunity." Id. at *5 n.4 (quoting
Doe (K.B.) v. Backpage.com, LLC, 2025 WL 719080, at *4 (N.D. Cal. Mar. 3, 2025)); see id. n.5
("[T]he Court finds that Section 230 and the First Amendment bar[] plaintiff's claims outright[.]")

In *Geegieh*, the court barred defamation and intentional infliction of emotional distress claims against X Corp. under both 47 U.S.C. § 230(c)(1) and the First Amendment, because the claims "attempt[ed] to hold X Corp. liable for its moderation practices." 2025 WL 1769766, at *4–5.

Both *Castronuova* and *Geegieh* are relevant to Plaintiffs' arguments that interactive computer service providers, such as X Corp. and Rumble, do not lose Section 230 immunity for liability deriving from third-party content simply because the provider's editorial decision not to remove such content is protected under the First Amendment. *See* Pls.' Resp. Defs.' Mot. S. J. on AB 2655, 24–27, Doc. 79.

1	
2	Dated: July 24, 2025
3	By: <u>/s/ Joel Kurtzberg</u> CAHILL GORDON & REINDEL LLP
4	Joel Kurtzberg (admitted <i>pro hac vice</i>) Floyd Abrams (admitted <i>pro hac vice</i>)
5	Jason Rozbruch (admitted <i>pro hac vice</i>) 32 Old Slip
6	New York, NY 10005 Phone: 212-701-3120
7	Facsimile: 212-269-5420 jkurtzberg@cahill.com
8	DOWNEY BRAND LLP
9	William R. Warne (SBN 141280) Meghan M. Baker (SBN 243765)
10	621 Capitol Mall, 18th Floor Sacramento, CA 95814
11	Phone: 916-444-1000 Facsimile: 916-520-5910
12	Attorneys for Plaintiff X Corp.
13	
14	/s/ Mathew W. Hoffmann ALLIANCE DEFENDING FREEDOM
15	Mathew W. Hoffmann Philip A. Sechler
16	44180 Riverside Parkway Lansdowne, VA 20176
17	Phone: 571-707-4655 Facsimile: 571-707-4656
18	mhoffmann@ADFlegal.org psechler@ADFlegal.org
19	ALLIANCE DEFENDING FREEDOM
20	Mercer Martin 15100 N. 90th Street
21	Scottsdale, AZ 85260 Phone: 480-444-0020
22	Facsimile: 480-444-0028 mmartin@ADFlegal.org
23	CHAVEZ-OCHOA LAW OFFICES, INC.
24	Brian R. Chavez-Ochoa (SBN 190289) 4 Jean Street, Suite 4
25	Valley Springs, CA 95252 Phone: 209-772-3013
26	brianr@chavezochoalaw.com
27	Attorneys for Plaintiffs Rumble Inc. and Rumble Canada Inc.
28	

NOTICE OF SUPPLEMENTAL

AUTHORITY